Reprinted from JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHYSICS, Vol. 36, No. 9, 2869-2873, September 1965 Copyright 1965 by the American Institute of Physics Printed in U. S. A.

CLIN CF65 0125

7706

UCRL

# Volume Compressibility of BeO and Other II-VI Compounds\*

CARL F. CLINE AND DOUGLAS R. STEPHENS

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Livermore, California

(Received 7 April 1965)

The volume compressibilities of BeO, ZnS, CdS, CdSe, and CdTe have been measured to 45 kbar. Solidsolid transitions were observed in CdS, CdSe, and CdTe at 17.5, 21.3, and 31.8 kbar, respectively, with corresponding volume changes of 16.0%, 16.4%, and 16.4%.

## I. INTRODUCTION

HE room-temperature volume compressibilities of BeO, CdS, CdSe, ZnO, CdTe, and ZnS have been measured to 45 kbar as part of a continuing program on the fundamental properties of II-VI compounds. The first four compounds have a wurtzite structure while the latter two have a zinc-blende structure. Table I lists some of the properties of the compounds of interest.

and the second se	the second s	and the second se	the second se			
Compound	Atmospheric crystal structure	Lattice parameters $a_0 c_0$ (Å)	Bond distance (Å)	Bandgap (eV)	Molecular volume (cc)	Density (g/cc)
BeO	Baa	2.695-4.39	1.64, 1.65	~11.6(R.T.)	8.31	3.010
ZnO	$B_9$	3.243-5.195	1.95, 1.98	3.14(R.T.)	14.31	5.676
ZnS	$B_4^{\rm b}$	5.412	2.36	3.91(14°K)		4.096
	$B_9$	3.811-6.234	2.33, 2.33	3.84(14°K)	23.83	4.089
ZnSe	$B_{4}$	5.65	2.45	2.820(4°K)	30.35	5.262
ZnTe	$B_4$	6.07	2.63	2.39(4°K)	34.24	5.636
CdS	$B_9$	4.14-6.72	2.51, 2.53	2.50(R.T.)	29.94	4.825
CdSe	$B_9$	4.30-7.01	2.63, 2.64	1.840(1.8°K)	41.00	5.854
CdTe	$B_4$	6.46	2.78	1.705(2.1°K)	41.00	5.854

TABLE I. Properties of II-IV compounds.

<sup>a</sup>  $B_9$  = wurtzite structure. <sup>b</sup>  $B_4$  = sphalerite structure.

A search of the literature revealed only two studies of isothermal compressibility of II-VI compounds. They are the work of Gutsche<sup>1</sup> on CdS and Weir and Shastis<sup>2</sup> on BeO. The CdS was done using an optical technique. The BeO data were scattered and only extended to 10 kbar.

The results are compared with the adiabatic compressibility calculated from elastic constants. Solidsolid transformations were observed for CdS, CdSe, and CdTe and are compared with previous work.<sup>3-8</sup>

### **II. EXPERIMENTAL**

### Method

A die with a tungsten carbide inner core and with steel support rings was used. The method has been described previously<sup>9</sup>; corrections were made for the expansion of

\* Work done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>1</sup> E. Gutsche, Naturwiss. 45, 486 (1958).
 <sup>2</sup> C. E. Weir and L. Shastis, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 39, 319 (1956).
 <sup>3</sup> A. Jayaraman, W. Klement, Jr., and G. C. Kennedy, Phys.

Rev. 130, 2277 (1963).
<sup>4</sup> C. J. M. Rooymans, Phys. Letters 4, 186 (1963).
<sup>5</sup> S. S. Kabalkina and Z. V. Troitskaya, Soviet Phys.—Doklady

8,800 (1964). A. N. Mariano and E. P. Warekois, Science 142, 672 (1963). A. Samara and H. G. Drickamer, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 7 G. 23, 457 (1962).

8 A. L. Edwards, T. E. Slykhouse, and H. G. Drickamer, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 11, 140 (1959).

D. R. Stephens, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 25, 423 (1964).

the die.<sup>10</sup> Most of the samples were run in a die of 0.500in. bore; some of the smaller samples, such as ZnO, were run in a 0.312-in. die.

In addition, shock-wave data were obtained for BeO to 1.1 mbar. Techniques for these measurements are described by Rice et al.<sup>11</sup>

#### TABLE II. Source of samples.

Samples	Purity (%)	Sources
CdS	99.99	<ol> <li>Harshaw Chemical Company</li> <li>Obtained as a boule from Dr. Norman Tallan WADC</li> </ol>
β-ZnS	99.99 99.99 99.98	<ol> <li>Harshaw Chemical Company</li> <li>Obtained as a boule from Dr. Norman Tallan WADC</li> <li>Semi Elements, Inc.</li> </ol>
a-ZnS	99.99	(1) Harshaw Chemical Company
CdSe	99.98	<ul><li>(1) Semi Elements, Inc.</li><li>(2) Harshaw Chemical Company</li></ul>
ZnO	99.99	(1) Obtained as pure crystals from Minneapolis Honeywell
BeO	99.95	(1) Obtained as 6-indiam block from Dr. S. Carneglia of Atomics International
CdTe	99.99	<ol> <li>Obtained from Semi Elements as <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-in. cubes</li> </ol>

<sup>10</sup> D. R. Stephens, J. Appl. Phys. (to be published). <sup>11</sup> M. H. Rice, J. M. Walsh, R. G. McQueen, and F. L. Yarger, Phys. Rev. 108, 196 (1957).

in poor agreement. This is probably due to the scatter in the hydrostatic data. Thus, we believe the Hugoniot measurements to be superior to the hydrostatic work in this case.

The phase transformation predicted by Jaryaraman *et al.*<sup>3</sup> for BeO was not observed.

## ZnO

The ZnO was in the form of a small crystal about 0.0625 in. in diameter by 1 in. long. The sample was too small for the 0.5-in. die so that a 0.132-in.-diam die was used. The measured isothermal compressibility is listed in Table III, but the adiabatic compressibility calculated from the elastic constants is considered more reliable. This is mainly due to the large friction corrections associated with compression of the small crystal.

The ZnO did not convert to the sphalerite under these conditions. This was verified by x-ray studies after pressurization.

### ZnS

The ZnS samples were obtained from a number of sources of which Harshaw provided the only hexagonal crystals. This fact was relatively unimportant because the hexagonal form always converted to the sphalerite form under pressure. This fact, combined with knowledge of the scarcity of hexagonal crystals in nature, as well as the problem in growing a wurtzite crystal, lead us to the conclusion that the wurtzite form of ZnS is metastable under normal conditions. The data on compressibility in Fig. 3 are therefore compared with Bridgman's<sup>20</sup> data; it may be seen that the agreement is good. The agreement between the adiabatic and isothermal compressibilities is also good.

## CdS

The CdS samples were obtained from various sources and were all essentially equivalent. The compressibility data are plotted in Fig. 4. The solid-state transformation to the rocksalt form has been identified by others using x-ray techniques.<sup>4-6</sup> We believe that the transformation pressures obtained in this work are quite accurate.



FIG. 3. Compression of ZnS, sphalerite structure.





There is hysteresis in the transition on the increasing and decreasing pressure cycles; the pressures were averaged in Table IX. These data are compared with the data of Jayaraman<sup>3</sup> and others in Table X. Table XI

TABLE IX. Transformation pressures in II-VI Cd compounds.

Compound	Transformation pressure (kbar)		
CdSe	Increased pressure Decreased pressure Average pressure	$25.2 \pm 1$ $17.2 \pm 0.7$ $21.3 \pm 0.8$	
CdS	Increased pressure Decreased pressure Average pressure	$23.4 \pm 0.6$ $11.4 \pm 1.0$ $17.5 \pm 0.8$	
CdTe	Increased pressure Decreased pressure Average pressure	$34.9 \pm 0.2$ 28.6 \pm 0.8 31.8 \pm 0.5	

tabulates the volume changes observed in this work and that of previous investigators.

The compressed CdS samples returned to 1 atm pressure as a mixture of the sphalerite and wurtzite forms, with the sphalerite form predominant. This is consistent with the reverse structural sequence<sup>5</sup> rocksalt  $\rightarrow$  sphalerite  $\rightarrow$  wurtzite. The agreement between the adiabatic and isothermal compressibility is poor (see Table III).

TABLE X. Transformation pressures in II-VI Cd compounds.

Compound	Investigator	$P_T$ (kbar)
CdS	Cline and Stephens Jayaraman <i>et al.</i> Mariano and Warekois Rooymans Samara and Drickamer Edwards <i>et al.</i>	$17.5 \pm 0.8 \\ 20 \\ 33^{a} \\ 20^{a} \\ \sim 20-30 \\ 27.5$
CdSe	Cline and Stephens Jayaraman <i>et al.</i> Mariano and Warekois Rooymans	$21.3 \pm 0.8$ ~19 $32^{a}$ $30^{a}$
CdTe	Cline and Stephens Jayaraman <i>et al.</i> Mariano and Warekois Samara and Drickamer	$31.8 \pm 0.5$ 33 36 <sup>a</sup> 30-35

a Pressure applied is not necessarily the transformation pressure.

2872